

Sets defining minimal vertex covers¹

Jaume Martí-Farré*

*Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada i Telemàtica, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya C/. Jordi Girona, 1-3,
Mòdul C3, Campus Nord, 08034 Barcelona, Spain*

Received 9 July 1997; revised 13 May 1998; accepted 3 August 1998

Abstract

Let V be a finite non-empty set of n elements, let r be a natural integer and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ be a non-empty set of subsets of V . In this paper the question arises whether there exists a graph G with vertex set V and whose minimal vertex cover sets are the elements of \mathcal{A} . In order to solve this problem we characterize the set of minimal vertex covers of a graph by using special binary matrices. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved

Keywords: Minimal vertex cover set; Graphs and matrices

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a finite simple graph (without loops), with vertex set $V(G)$ and with edge set $E(G)$. A vertex $u \in V(G)$ and an edge $e \in E(G)$ are said to cover each other in G if they are incident in G (that is, if there is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $e = [u, v] \in E(G)$). A vertex cover set in G is a set of vertices that covers all edges of G . Let us denote by $\Gamma(G)$ the set whose elements are the minimal vertex cover sets of G .

In this paper we discuss the following problem concerning minimal vertex cover sets of a graph. Given $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a finite non-empty set of n points, and given $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ a non-empty family of r subsets of V , does there exist a graph G with vertex set $V(G) = V$ and such that $\Gamma(G) = \mathcal{A}$?

It seems clear that the solution of our problem depends on the relative position of the elements that define the sets A_1, \dots, A_r . So, in order to solve the problem, it leads us to consider the incidence matrix $A(\mathcal{A})$ associated to the family \mathcal{A} and, now, we must look for properties of this binary matrix that help us see if the answer is yes or no.

¹ Work partially supported by the Spanish Research Council (Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología, CICYT) under project TIC 97-0963.

* E-mail: jaumem@mat.upc.es.

Following this idea we establish our main result (see Theorem 2.1): there exists a graph G with vertex set V and whose minimal vertex cover sets are the elements of \mathcal{A} if, and only if, the matrix $A(\mathcal{A})$ associated to \mathcal{A} satisfies conditions (P1)–(P3), (see Section 2 for the definition of these conditions). Furthermore, in such a case, we demonstrate that such a graph is unique, and we describe the edge set of this graph.

The reader is referred to any one of the following Refs. [1,2] for graph theoretical concepts used here.

2. A characterization result

Let $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite non-empty set of n points, let $r \geq 1$ be a natural integer and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ be a family of r subsets of V . Then we denote by $A(\mathcal{A})$ the incidence matrix associated to the family \mathcal{A} . That is to say:

$A(\mathcal{A}) = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{r,n}(\{0,1\})$ is the binary matrix whose elements $a_{i,j}$ are defined as $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $x_j \notin A_i$, and $a_{i,j} = 1$ if $x_j \in A_i$.

Furthermore, we will use the following conditions defined on an arbitrary binary matrix. Let $M = (m_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{r,n}(\{0,1\})$ be a matrix with r rows and n columns and where its elements $m_{i,j}$ are either zero or one. In such a case we say that the matrix M satisfies the condition:

(P1) if, for any two different integers $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq r$, there exists an integer $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $m_{i_1,j} > m_{i_2,j}$.

That is, for any two different rows i_1 and i_2 of M there exists an integer j such that the j th component of the row i_1 is equal to one, while the j th component of the row i_2 is equal to zero.

(P2) if, for any integer $1 \leq j \leq n$, there exists an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $m_{i,j} = 0$. That is, each column of the matrix M has a zero element.

(P3) if, for any $l \geq 2$ different integers $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq n$ such that $m_{i,j_1} + \dots + m_{i,j_l} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then there are two different integers $j_{x_1}, j_{x_2} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $m_{i,j_{x_1}} + m_{i,j_{x_2}} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

That is, if the vector sum of $l \geq 2$ different columns of the matrix M has all its components greater than or equal to one then, there are at least two of these l columns such that its vector sum already has all its components greater than or equal to one.

With the notations described above, the following theorem characterizes whenever the family \mathcal{A} defines the minimal vertex cover sets of a graph:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite non-empty set of n points. Let $r \geq 1$ be a natural integer and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$ be a family of r subsets of V . Then, the*

following statements hold:

- (a) There exists a graph G with vertex set $V(G)=V$ and with minimal vertex cover sets $\Gamma(G)=\mathcal{A}$ if, and only if, the matrix $A(\mathcal{A})$ associated to the family \mathcal{A} satisfies conditions (P1), (P2) and (P3).
- (b) If there exists such a graph G then it is unique and its edge set is $E(G)=\{[x_\alpha, x_\beta]$ such that the vector sum of the α and β columns of the matrix $A(\mathcal{A})$ has all its components greater than or equal to one.

Before doing the proof of Theorem 2.1 let us show an example.

Example 2.2. Let $V=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and let us consider the families of subsets $\mathcal{A}_1=\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,3,4\}\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2=\{\{1,2\}, \{1,3,4\}\}$, $\mathcal{A}_3=\{\{1,4\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,3\}\}$, and $\mathcal{A}_4=\{\{1,4\}, \{2,4\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$. Then, the matrix

$$A(\mathcal{A}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfies conditions (P2) and (P3) but does not satisfy (P1);}$$

while

$$A(\mathcal{A}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfies conditions (P1) and (P3) but does not satisfy (P2);}$$

whereas

$$A(\mathcal{A}_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfies conditions (P1) and (P2) but does not satisfy (P3); and}$$

$$A(\mathcal{A}_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfies conditions (P1)–(P3).}$$

Therefore applying Theorem 2.1 it follows that, for $i=1,2,3$ there does not exist a graph G_i with vertex set $V(G_i)=V$ and whose minimal vertex cover sets are the elements of the family \mathcal{A}_i ; whilst there exists a unique graph G_4 with vertex set $V(G_4)=V$ and with minimal vertex cover sets $\Gamma(G_4)=\mathcal{A}_4$. Furthermore, the edge set $E(G_4)$ of the graph G_4 is $E(G_4)=\{[1,2], [1,4], [2,4], [3,4]\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. *Proof (b).* For a given graph G we claim that $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in E(G)$ if, and only if, either $x_\alpha \in C$ or $x_\beta \in C$ for all minimal vertex cover set C of G . Therefore, from our claim it follows that, if G_1 and G_2 are two graphs such that $V(G_1)=V(G_2)=V$ and such that $\Gamma(G_1)=\Gamma(G_2)=\mathcal{A}$ then $E(G_1)=E(G_2)=\{[x_\alpha, x_\beta]$ such that the vector sum of the α and β columns of the matrix $A(\mathcal{A})$ has all its

components greater than or equal to one} and, hence, $G_1 = G_2$. So, in order to prove (b), we only need to show our claim.

Let G be a graph. Then, from the definition of vertex cover set it follows that if $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in E(G)$ then either $x_\alpha \in C$ or $x_\beta \in C$ for all minimal vertex cover set C of G . Conversely, assuming that either $x_\alpha \in C$ or $x_\beta \in C$ for all $C \in \Gamma(G)$, we demonstrate that $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in E(G)$. If $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \notin E(G)$, then $\{x_\alpha, x_\beta\}$ is an independent set of vertices of G and, hence, it follows that there exists $W \subset V(G)$ a maximal independent set of vertices of G such that $\{x_\alpha, x_\beta\} \subset W$. Clearly, the set $V(G) - W$ is a minimal vertex cover set of G . Therefore $x_\alpha, x_\beta \notin V(G) - W \in \Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, our claim follows, and this completes the proof of (b).

Proof (a \Rightarrow). Assume that there exists a graph G with vertex set $V(G) = V$ and with minimal vertex cover sets $\Gamma(G) = \mathcal{A}$. We want to prove that then conditions (P1)–(P3) must hold.

It is clear that condition (P1) holds since if $a_{i_1, j} \leq a_{i_2, j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $A_{i_1} \subset A_{i_2}$, which is a contradiction since $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2} \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(G)$ are minimal sets.

Let us show (P2). Let $1 \leq j \leq n$. Assume that $a_{i, j} = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $W_i = V(G) - A_i$. Since $\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$ are all the minimal vertex cover sets of G then it follows that, $\{W_1, \dots, W_r\}$ are all the maximal independent sets of vertices of G . Likewise, since $a_{i, j} = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then $x_j \in A_i$ and, thus, we have that $x_j \notin W_i$. Therefore $[x_\alpha, x_j] \in E(G)$ for all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{j\}$ and, hence, $C_j = \{x_\alpha \text{ where } \alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \alpha \neq j\}$ is a minimal vertex cover set of G . Thus, there exists an integer $i_j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $A_{i_j} = C_j$. Therefore $x_j \notin A_{i_j}$ and, hence, $a_{i_j, j} = 0$, which is a contradiction. So, condition (P2) holds.

To finish the proof of this implication let us demonstrate that condition (P3) holds. Let $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq n$ be $l \geq 2$ different integers such that $a_{i, j_1} + \dots + a_{i, j_l} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. We want to show that then there are two different integers $j_{\alpha_1}, j_{\alpha_2} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $a_{i, j_{\alpha_1}} + a_{i, j_{\alpha_2}} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Since A_1, \dots, A_r are the minimal vertex cover sets of G then it follows that, $a_{i, j_{\alpha_1}} + a_{i, j_{\alpha_2}} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ if, and only if, either $x_{j_{\alpha_1}} \in A_i$ or $x_{j_{\alpha_2}} \in A_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ if, and only if, $[x_{j_{\alpha_1}}, x_{j_{\alpha_2}}] \in E(G)$. Therefore, we must prove that there are two different integers $j_{\alpha_1}, j_{\alpha_2} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $[x_{j_{\alpha_1}}, x_{j_{\alpha_2}}] \in E(G)$.

Assume that the vertices $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\}$ are pairwise independent. Then there exists a maximal independent set of vertices W of G such that $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\} \subset W$. Since W is a maximal independent set of vertices of G then it follows that, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $V(G) - W = A_{i_0}$. So we have that $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l} \notin A_{i_0}$ and, thus, $a_{i_0, j_1} + \dots + a_{i_0, j_l} = 0$ which is a contradiction of our assumption. Therefore condition (P3) holds, as we wanted to prove. This completes the proof of the implication (\Rightarrow).

Proof (a \Leftarrow). Let $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite non-empty set. Let $r \geq 1$ be a natural integer and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ be a finite non-empty family of subsets of V . Let $A(\mathcal{A})$ be the matrix associated to the family \mathcal{A} . Assume that $A(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies conditions (P1)–(P3). We want to demonstrate that then there is a graph G with vertex set $V(G) = V$ and with minimal vertex cover sets $\Gamma(G) = \mathcal{A}$.

Let us define the graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ as $V(G) = V$ and $E(G) = \{[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \text{ such that } a_{i,\alpha} + a_{i,\beta} \geq 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. (Notice that from condition (P2) it follows that if $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then there is an integer $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $a_{i,\alpha} = 0$ and, so, $[x_\alpha, x_\alpha] \notin E(G)$). We only must show that $\Gamma(G) = \mathcal{A}$.

Firstly let us prove that A_1, \dots, A_r are vertex cover sets of G . Let $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in E(G)$. Then, from the definition of $E(G)$ it follows that $a_{i,\alpha} + a_{i,\beta} \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Therefore either $a_{i,\alpha} = 1$ or $a_{i,\beta} = 1$ and, hence, it follows that either $x_\alpha \in A_i$ or $x_\beta \in A_i$. So A_i is a vertex cover set of G .

In order to finish the proof we only need to show that if C is a vertex cover set then there exists an integer $i_c \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $A_{i_c} \subset C$ since, then, $\Gamma(G) \subset \{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$ and, so, from condition (P1), it follows that $\Gamma(G) = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} = \mathcal{A}$.

Let C be a vertex cover set of G . Assume that $A_i \not\subset C$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, pick an element $x_{\alpha_i} \in A_i$ such that $x_{\alpha_i} \notin C$. Now we can write the set $\{x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_r}\}$ as $\{x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_r}\} = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\}$ where $l \leq r$ and where the elements x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l} are all different.

We claim that $l \geq 2$. Otherwise, if $l = 1$, then $\{x_{j_1}\} = \{x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_r}\}$. Hence $x_{j_1} \in A_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Thus, $a_{i,j_1} = 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ which contradicts condition (P2). Therefore $l \geq 2$.

For all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have that there exists an integer $j_{\alpha(i)} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $x_{\alpha_i} = x_{j_{\alpha(i)}}$. Therefore $x_{j_{\alpha(i)}} \in A_i$ and, hence, $a_{i,j_1} + \dots + a_{i,j_l} \geq a_{i,j_{\alpha(i)}} = 1$. Applying condition (P3) it follows that there are two different integers $j_{\beta_1}, j_{\beta_2} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $a_{i,j_{\beta_1}} + a_{i,j_{\beta_2}} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Hence, from the definition of G it follows that $[x_{j_{\beta_1}}, x_{j_{\beta_2}}] \in E(G)$. Likewise, C is a vertex cover set of G and, thus, it follows that either $x_{j_{\beta_1}} \in C$ or $x_{j_{\beta_2}} \in C$, which contradicts our choice of the elements $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\}$.

Therefore, any vertex cover set contains at least one of the elements of the family \mathcal{A} . This completes the proof of this implication and, hence, the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his gratitude to M.A. Fiol for his valuable remarks and suggestions on improving the readability of this paper.

References

- [1] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, *Graphs and Digraphs*, Mathematics Series, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA, 1986.
- [2] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.